Scientific paper

# The $(p, \rho, T)$ Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes $V_{\phi}$ of LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH

Huseyn Israfilov,<sup>1</sup> Rasim Jannataliyev,<sup>1,2</sup> Javid Safarov,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Astan Shahverdiyev<sup>1</sup> and Egon Hassel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department "Heat and Refrigeration Techniques", Azerbaijan Technical University, H. Javid Avn. 25, AZ1073 Baku, Azerbaijan.

<sup>2</sup> Lehrstuhl für Technische Thermodynamik, Universität Rostock, 18059, Rostock, Germany.

\* Corresponding author: E-mail: javid.safarov @uni-rostock.de Phone: + 49 381 4989415; fax: + 49 381 4989402

Received: 15-10-2008

Dedicated to Professor Josef Barthel on the occasion of his 80<sup>th</sup> birthday

# Abstract

The  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties and apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol at temperatures T = (298.15 to 398.15) K and pressures up to p = 40 MPa are reported. The vibration tube densimeter method used during the experiments. The experiments were carried out at molalities of m = (0.12071, 0.26234, 60237, 0.97956, 1.83765, 2.62045 and 3.27773) mol kg<sup>-1</sup> using lithium nitrate. An empirical correlation for the density of (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) with pressure, temperature and molality has been derived. The short form of equation of state was developed for the technical calculations. Apparent molar volume and thermal properties of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol were calculated using the equation of state.

**Keywords:** Apparent molar volume, density, partial molar volume, vibration tube densimeter, isothermal compressibility, isobaric thermal expansibility, lithium nitrate

# 1. Introduction

In absorption heat pump systems, compression of the heat transfer fluid is achieved thermally in a solution circuit which consists of an absorber, a solution pump, a generator and an expansion valve. Vapour of refrigerant with low pressure from the evaporator is absorbed in the absorbent and this process generates heat. The solution is pumped to high pressure and then enters the generator, where the heat transfer fluid is boiled off with an external heat supply at a high temperature. The vapour of refrigerant is condensed in the condenser while the absorbent is returned to the absorber via the expansion valve. Heat is extracted from the heat source in the evaporator. Useful heat is given off at medium temperature in the condenser and in the absorber. In the generator high-temperature heat is supplied to run the process. A small amount of electricity may be needed to operate the solution pump.

The efficiency of an absorption heat transfer cycle lagely depends on the physical and chemical properties

of the heat transfer fluid. The problems of using conventional aqueous solutions of electrolytes were discussed in our previous publications on methanol and ethanol solutions of electrolytes.<sup>1–3</sup> Total analysis of the thermodynamic properties of non-aqueous electrolyte solutions were carried out by Prof. Barthel and his research group.<sup>4–6</sup>

This work is a continuation of the study of solutions of electrolytes for their fu-ture application as heat transfer fluids in absorption systems. These systems (alco-hol solutions of electrolyte) could replace aqueous solutions at temperatures below the freezing point of water. Ethanol has a freezing temperature lower than methanol and can improve the circu-lation of heat transfer agents in the closed system.

The  $(p,\rho,T)$  properties and apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of the LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol at T = (298.15 to 398.15) K and pressures up to p = 40 MPa are reported. An empirical correlation for the density of (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) with pressure, temperature and molality has been derived.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

Various literature works7-10 with thermodynamic properties of LiNO<sub>2</sub> in ethanol are available. Glugla etc.<sup>7</sup> investigated the partial molar volume of monovalent salts and polar molecules in organic solvents. High volume injection and flow dilatometers were used during the experiments. The temperature bath used with this apparatus controlled temperature fluctuation to within 0.001 °C. The volume change was always less than 0.0001 ml and frequently less than 0.00005 ml. The apparent molar volumes of  $LiNO_3$  in ethanol were measured at temperature T = 298.15 K, molalities  $m = (0.00201 \text{ to } 2.4085) \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ and at p = 0.1 MPa. The partial molar volumes measured in aprotic solvents with this apparatus were accurate to better than  $\pm 2\%$ .

Eliseeva etc.8 in 1999, investigated the density of LiNO<sub>3</sub> + ethanol at T = 298.15 K and at molalities m =(0.1048 to 3.0026) mol kg<sup>-1</sup> using a well known vibrationtube densimeter method. The uncertainties of measurements of this work is  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Marcus and Hefter,<sup>10</sup> in 2004, after the analysis of available literature results decided the apparent molar volume at infinite dilution, as  $V_{\phi}^{0} = -5 \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  at T =298.15 K.

Verevkin et al., in 2006, measured the vapor pressure p of (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) solutions at T = (298.15 to)323.15) K. The experiments were carried out in the molality range  $m = (0.19125 \text{ to } 2.21552) \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ . The Antoine equation was used for the empirical description of the experimental vapor pressure results, and the PitzerMayorga model with inclusion of Archer's ionic strength dependence of the third virial coefficient for the calculated osmotic coefficients were used for the evaluation of the osmotic, activity coefficients ( $\phi$ ,  $\gamma$ ) and activity of solvent  $a_{a}$  from the experimental vapor pressure results.

The  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties of these solutions are not available in the literature.

## 2. Experimental Section

The  $(p, \rho, T)$  measurements were studied using a new modernized high pressure - high temperature vibrating tube densimeter DMA HPM (Anton-Paar, Austria). The schematic principle of the vibration tube densimeter is shown in Figure 1. The measurements with a vibrating tube are based on the dependence between the period of oscillation of a unilaterally fixed U-tube Hastelloy C-276 and its mass. This mass consists of the U-tube material and the mass of the fluid filled into the U-tube. The behavior of the vibrating tube can be described by the simple mathematical-physical model of the undamped spring-mass system.<sup>11</sup> The characteristic period of oscillation  $\tau$  (µs) of this model is described by the following equation:

$$\tau = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m_0 + V\rho}{k}},\tag{1}$$



DMA HPM: 1 - Flask for the probe; 2, 7, 16, 17 - Valves; 3, 11 - Fitting; 4 - Pressure intensifier; 5 - Pressure sensor HP-1; 6 - Pressure sensor P-10; 8 - Valve for the closing of system during the experiments; 9 - Display mPDS2000V3 for the temperature and frequency control; 10 - Vacuum indicator; 12 - Visual window; 13 - Vibration tube; 14 - Interface mode; 15 -PC; 18 - Thermostat F32-ME; 19 - Vacuum pump; 20 - Thermos for cooling.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$ 

where:  $\tau$  is the period of oscillation of the vibration tube, µs;  $m_0$  is mass of the empty vibrating tube, kg; V is volume of the vibrating tube, m<sup>3</sup>;  $\rho$  is sample density, kg m<sup>-3</sup> and k is the spring constant, N m<sup>-1</sup>.

The period of oscillation measurement and the temperature control is implemented within the DMA HPM control system, which consists of a measuring cell (13) and a modified mPDS2000V3 control unit (9) connected to a PC (15) via an interface (14). The temperature in the measuring cell was controlled using a thermostat (18) F32-ME (Julabo, Germany) with an error of ±10 mK and was measured using the (ITS-90) Pt100 thermometer with an experimental error of  $\pm 15$  mK. Pressure was created by a pressure intensifier (4) (Type 37-6-30, HIP, USA) and measured by pressure transmitter (6) (P-10, WIKA Alexander Wiegand GmbH & Co., Germany) with a measuring error of 0.1%. The observed reproducibility and estimated maximum uncertainty of the density measurements at temperatures T = (298.15 to 398.15) K and at pressures up to p = 40 MPa is within  $\pm 0.1 - 0.3$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>. All high pressure valves (2, 7, 8, 16, 17), tubes, fittings (3 and 11) etc. were supplied by SITEC and NOVA (Switzerland).

Rearrangement of the equation and substitution of the mechanical constants lead to the classical equation for vibrating tube densimeters:

$$\rho = A - B\tau^2, \tag{2}$$

where:  $\rho$  is the sample density, kg m<sup>-3</sup> and  $\tau$  is the period of oscillation, µs. The parameters *A* and *B* were determined by substance calibration measuring the period of oscillation of at least two samples with known density. Water<sup>12</sup> (twice-distilled), ethanol<sup>13–15</sup> and NaCl (aq)<sup>16–17</sup> in various molalities were used as reference substances for the calibration of the installation.

Unfortunately, the parameters A and B are highly temperature and also pressure dependent. Therefore, the parameters must be determined for each temperature and pressure separately or, like in this work, the classical equation must be expanded with temperature and pressure-dependent terms. For measurements at T = (298.15 to 398.15) K and up to p = 40 MPa an extended calibration equation with 14 significant parameters is employed:<sup>18</sup>

$$A = \sum_{i} a_{i} (T/K)^{i} + \sum_{j} b_{j} (p/MPa)^{j} + c(T/K)(p/MPa),$$
(3)

$$B = \sum_{i} d_{i} (T / K)^{i} + \sum_{j} e_{j} (p / MPa)^{j} + f(T / K)(p / MPa),$$
(4)

where:  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, c, d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, e_1, e_2$  and f are the parameters of the these extended vibrating tube equations.

Before starting the experiment only the valve of the flask (1) was closed. The sample filled into the measuring cell was under vacuum, which is connected to the installation. Vacuum is applied over (3 to 4) hours using a vacuum pump (19) (Model S 1.5, Leybold, Germany) until a minimal pressure [(3 to 5) Pa] has been reached (measured with digital vacuum indicator (10) THERMOVAC TM 100 (Leybold, Germany). The valve (17) is closed and the valve of the flask is opened. The investigated substance is filled into the measuring system. For the tracing of flow of the measured sample a special window (12) was constructed between valves (16) and (17). After filling of the system, the valves (2) and (16), which separate the high pressure connections (bold lines in Fig.1) from others, were closed. The experiments were started usually at low pressures in the measured cell (0.8-1.0 MPa). Temperature stabilization was around two hours. The period of oscillation of the vibration tube is taken from the display of the mPDS2000V3 control system (9).

To check the apparatus and procedures of the measurements and the accuracy of calibration before engaging in measurements on solutions, the density of double distilled water, ethanol and NaCl (aq) with various molalities were measured, compared with the values of literature results and good comparison were obtained.

LiNO<sub>3</sub> (w > 0.998) was supplied from Merck, Germany and was used without further purification. Before experiment it was dried about 48 h in a special cell by heating at 413.15 K and reduced pressure (around 7–8 Pa).

Ethanol (w > 0.998) was supplied from Merck, Germany and was degassed by vacuum distillation using a Vigreux column with a height of 90 cm. The final purity of the ethanol was checked by gas chromatography (w > 0.999) and Karl-Fischer titration (water content <50 ppm).

For the preparation of samples, flasks with  $LiNO_3$ and ethanol were connected to the vacuum pump using a glass adapter. Before the opening of valves of flasks air in the glass adapter was evacuated. Ethanol, in the top flask, flew to the down flask, where was  $LiNO_3$  under vacuum. The samples were obtained by successive dilutions of the concentrated solutions. The solutions were prepared by mass using an electronic scale ED224S (Sartorius, Germany) with a resolution of 0.0001 g.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The  $(p,\rho,T)$  properties and apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) were studied at T = (298.15 to 398.15) K, pressures up to p = 40 MPa and molalities m = (0.12071, 0.26234, 0.60237, 0.97956, 1.83765, 2.62045, and 3.27773) mol kg<sup>-1</sup> of lithium nitrate. Experiments were carried out in the T = 25 K and p = 5 MPa intervals. The experimental  $(p,\rho,T)$  results are listed in Table 1.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$ 

**Table 1.** Experimental values of pressure p/MPa, density  $\rho/kg m^{-3}$ , temperature T/K, isothermal compressibility  $kT \cdot 10^6/MPa^{-1}$ , isobaric thermal expansivity  $\alpha_p \cdot 10^6/K^{-1}$ , difference in isobaric and isochoric heat capacities  $(c_p - c_v)/(Jkg^{-1}K^{-1})$  of the (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH).

n/MPa	0	<i>T/</i> K	$k_{-} \cdot 10^{6}$	$\alpha \cdot 10^{6}/\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	(c - c)	n/MPa	0	T/K	$k_{-} \cdot 10^{6}$	$\alpha \cdot 10^{6}/\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	(c - c)
<i>p</i> ,	/kg m <sup>-3</sup>		$/MPa^{-1}$	<b>K</b> <sup>-1</sup>	$/Ikg^{-1}K^{-1}$	print u	$/kg m^{-3}$		$/MPa^{-1}$	K <sup>-1</sup>	$/\mathrm{Ik}\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$
	,	m = 0.12	071 mol. k	ra <sup>-1</sup>	, <b>u</b> -g	0.324	775.03	323.15	1338.0	1172.7	127 7
0.214	701.56	m = 0.12	1145 1	1096.0	200 5	- 5.004	780.50	323.15	1251.6	1172.7	421.7
0.214	791.30	298.15	1145.1	1080.9	388.3 294.4	10.006	785.22	323.15	1168.6	1086.4	415.7
5.012	700.81	298.15	10/7.7	1051.5	384.4 280.8	15 745	790.30	323.15	1086.4	1043.8	410.1
10.025	799.81 804.05	298.15	055.5	1016.4	200.0 277 4	19 998	793.91	323.15	1032.1	1015.3	406.5
13.412	804.03 807.53	298.15	955.5	980.1	377.0	25.006	797.95	323.15	975.2	984.9	402.8
20.020	811 56	298.15	909.4 850.3	033.3	374.9	29.992	801 77	323.15	924.7	957.6	399.7
20.021	814 50	298.15	873.8	955.5	372.4	35 004	805 39	323.15	879.6	933.0	397.1
35.032	817.80	298.15	787.0	802.5	368.0	39,998	808.79	323.15	839.6	910.8	394.8
30.092	821.00	298.15	757.0	873.6	367.5	0.326	752.51	348.15	1637.4	1298.5	476.4
0 3 2 1	760 50	290.15	1377.0	1181 7	125 Q	5.006	757.87	348.15	1511.7	1239.7	467.0
5.321	774 59	323.15	1277.2	1132.0	418.5	9.997	763.39	348.15	1394.0	1183.8	458.5
9 989	778.98	323.15	1198.1	1091.9	412.8	15.006	768.48	348.15	1295.1	1136.0	451.5
15 014	783 52	323.15	1122.3	1052.9	407.4	19.998	773.36	348.15	1207.9	1093.3	445.5
20.002	787.76	323.15	1056.6	1018 7	402.9	25.008	777.91	348.15	1132.9	1056.0	440.5
25.001	791.87	323.15	997.2	987.3	398.9	29.998	782.19	348.15	1067.2	1022.9	436.4
29.996	795.77	323.15	944.4	959.0	395.4	35.004	786.26	348.15	1008.8	993.1	432.9
35.478	799.80	323.15	893.3	931.2	392.2	39.998	790.09	348.15	957.2	966.4	429.9
39.989	802.93	323.15	855.8	910.6	389.9	0.385	727.08	373.15	2057.1	1480.9	547.2
0.245	745.71	348.15	1697.4	1330.3	486.7	5.009	733.49	373.15	1866.4	1397.5	532.3
5.025	751.36	348.15	1559.9	1265.8	475.9	10.213	740.23	373.15	1688.3	1318.1	518.7
10.003	756.89	348.15	1438.0	1207.7	466.5	15.008	745.94	373.15	1553.1	1256.8	508.8
15.301	762.47	348.15	1326.3	1153.5	458.1	19.998	751.60	373.15	1431.5	1200.8	500.1
19.998	767.14	348.15	1240.7	1111.3	451.8	25.318	757.10	373.15	1323.9	1150.5	492.8
25.065	771.82	348.15	1161.3	1071.7	446.1	29.994	761.66	373.15	1241.9	1111.6	487.5
29.997	776.15	348.15	1093.2	1037.1	441.4	35.006	766.25	373.15	1165.2	1074.8	482.8
35.001	780.37	348.15	1031.2	1005.3	437.2	39.994	770.59	373.15	1097.7	1041.9	478.9
39.998	784.29	348.15	977.3	977.2	433.8	0.748	699.11	398.15	2675.9	1751.0	652.5
0.365	719.91	373.15	2145.2	1535.1	569.4	5.004	706.37	398.15	2390.4	1632.7	628.6
5.142	726.71	373.15	1934.3	1442.4	552.3	10.009	714.29	398.15	2119.5	1518.3	606.3
10.003	733.03	373.15	1760.2	1364.5	538.4	15.621	722.22	398.15	1884.1	1416.9	587.4
15.621	739.89	373.15	1592.0	1287.8	525.4	19.998	728.01	398.15	1731.6	1350.0	575.6
19.998	744.90	373.15	1481.1	1236.4	517.0	25.026	734.12	398.15	1586.1	1285.2	564.8
25.004	750.33	373.15	1371.0	1184.6	509.1	29.996	739.79	398.15	1463.7	1229.9	556.2
29.996	755.36	373.15	1277.5	1140.0	502.5	35.007	745.05	398.15	1359.8	1182.3	549.3
35.030	760.16	373.15	1195.1	1100.1	497.1	39.992	749.99	398.15	1270.0	1140.5	543.7
39.997	764.57	373.15	1124.8	1065.6	492.7			m = 0.602	237 mol · l	$kg^{-1}$	
0.894	691.62	398.15	2798.2	1819.5	681.1	0.362	813.11	298.15	1055.1	1078.2	404.0
5.004	698.72	398.15	2505.0	1698.4	656.2	5.006	817.04	298.15	996.2	1045.2	400.2
10.006	706.73	398.15	2217.3	1577.4	632.2	10.008	820.95	298.15	941.4	1014.0	396.7
15.201	714.38	398.15	19/8.6	1474.9	612.8	14.995	824.76	298.15	891.5	985.2	393.6
20.003	720.88	398.15	1799.3	1396.5	598.7	19.998	828.39	298.15	846.8	959.0	390.9
25.621	727.87	398.15	1627.4	1320.0	585./	25.006	831.79	298.15	807.3	935.5	388.5
29.998	732.97	398.15	1513.9	1268.8	570.0	29.994	835.10	298.15	770.9	913.5	386.5
33.002 20.006	738.49	398.13 209.15	1401.4	1217.2	570.0	35.004	838.27	298.15	737.8	893.3	384.7
59.990	/45.49	398.13	1507.7	11/5.0	304.1	39.998	841.29	298.15	707.8	874.7	383.1
		m = 0.26	$234 \text{ mol} \cdot \mathbf{k}$	(g <sup>-1</sup>		0.415	790.94	323.15	1260.0	1143.8	424.2
0.215	798.01	298.15	1115.8	1089.6	397.6	5.009	795.34	323.15	1181.3	1104.3	419.4
5.001	802.13	298.15	1050.5	1054.3	393.3	10.621	800.49	323.15	1096.5	1061.0	414.4
10.003	806.18	298.15	990.6	1021.5	389.5	15.048	804.32	323.15	1038.0	1030.7	411.2
15.210	810.22	298.15	935.0	990.5	386.1	19.998	808.37	323.15	980.2	1000.3	408.1
19.998	813.79	298.15	888.8	964.4	383.4	25.005	812.27	323.15	928.0	972.6	405.5
25.006	817.36	298.15	845.3	939.5	380.9	29.997	815.94	323.15	881.9	947.7	403.3
29.996	820.76	298.15	806.2	916.8	378.8	35.006	819.40	323.15	840.9	925.2	401.5
35.006	824.01	298.15	770.8	896.1	376.9	39.998	822.62	323.15	804.7	905.2	400.0
39.997	827.09	298.15	738.9	877.1	375.3	0.514	768.00	348.15	1521.4	1235.1	454.6

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

n/MPa	0	T/K	$k \cdot 10^{6}$	$\alpha \cdot 10^{6}/\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	(c - c)	-	n/MPa	0	T/K	$k \cdot 10^{6}$	$\alpha \cdot 10^{6}/\mathrm{K}^{-1}$	(c - c)
privit a	$p$ $l/a m^{-3}$	1/1	$/MD_{0}^{-1}$	$\mathbf{v}_{p} \cdot \mathbf{107K}$	$(\mathbf{U}_p - \mathbf{U}_v)$ $/\mathbf{W}_q - \mathbf{W}_{-1}$		privit a	p $l_{kam^{-3}}$	1/1	$/MD_{0}^{-1}$	$\mathbf{v}_{p} \cdot \mathbf{107K}$	$(U_p - U_v)$
	7Kg III	240.15	/WII a	K	/JKg K	-	10.000	7Kg III	070.15	/WII a	K	/JKg K
5.002	773.02	348.15	1412.3	1184.6	447.5		19.998	782.75	373.15	1254.9	1083.8	446.2
10.006	778.36	348.15	1306.3	1134.8	440.9		25.003	787.47	373.15	1173.6	1045.5	441.3
15.024	783.32	348.15	1216.2	1091.8	435.6		29.941	791.86	373.15	1103.5	1012.0	437.4
20.032	788.04	348.15	1137.2	1053.6	431.2		35.006	796.00	373.15	1041.8	982.3	434.2
25.034	792.36	348.15	1070.2	1020.7	427.8		39.998	799.93	373.15	986.9	955.6	431.6
29.998	796.46	348.15	1010.8	991.2	424.9		0.741	734.42	398.15	2226.0	1538.5	576.5
35.005	800.32	348.15	958.3	964.8	422.6		5.003	741.10	398.15	2008.6	1440.8	555.2
39.986	803.93	348.15	912.1	941.3	420.7		10.214	748.59	398.15	1794.2	1342.8	534.5
0.324	743.06	373.15	1896.3	1386.6	509.2		15.026	754.86	398.15	1635.3	1269.0	519.4
5.102	749.52	373.15	1720.1	1309.3	496.2		19.996	760.76	398.15	1500.7	1205.6	506.9
10.068	755.71	373.15	1569.3	1242.0	485.4		25.004	766.31	398.15	1385.8	1150.8	496.5
15.027	761.42	373.15	1443.9	1185.2	476.8		29.996	771.35	398.15	1290.1	1104.6	488.1
20.129	766.91	373.15	1334.4	1134.9	469.6		35.002	776.12	398.15	1206.6	1063.7	481.1
25.046	771.77	373.15	1245.5	1093.4	464.1		39.992	780.47	398.15	1135.8	1028.8	475.4
29.998	776.33	373.15	1168.3	1057.0	459.6				m - 1.837	65 mol.	ka <sup>-1</sup>	
35.106	780.70	373.15	1099.5	1024.1	455.9			0(0.0(	m = 1.057		к <u>е</u>	267.4
39,997	784.73	373.15	1040.2	995.5	453.0		0.214	862.26	298.15	910.6	983.6	367.4
0.624	716.11	398.15	2442.2	1634.3	608.1		5.002	866.01	298.15	862.0	957.8	366.4
4 997	723.29	398.15	2185 5	1524.8	585.6		10.064	869.65	298.15	817.7	933.9	365.7
10.008	720.80	308 15	1048.2	1421.8	565.0		15.023	873.15	298.15	777.6	911.8	365.1
15.406	720.09	209 15	1746.2	1421.0	548.2		20.004	876.42	298.15	742.3	891.9	364.6
13.400	730.20	200.15	1/40.2	1352.4	5267		25.006	879.59	298.15	709.8	873.3	364.2
20.007	744.09	200.15	1004.0	1208.7	530.7		30.002	882.65	298.15	680.0	856.0	364.0
25.100	749.95	398.13	14/5.2	1209.6	520.0		35.014	885.64	298.15	652.3	839.6	363.8
29.996	/55.18	398.15	1369.8	1160.8	518.0		39.998	888.41	298.15	627.8	824.9	363.7
35.008	/60.14	398.15	12/7.9	111/./	512.1		0.214	840.77	323.15	1061.2	1030.4	384.6
39.994	/64./3	398.15	1199.1	1080.4	506.8	-	5.007	844.96	323.15	998.4	1000.3	383.2
		m = 0.97	956 mol · l	кg <sup>-1</sup>			10.068	849.17	323.15	939.8	971.6	382.2
0.231	829.01	298.15	1002.9	1051.8	396.7	-	15.924	853.78	323.15	880.2	941.9	381.5
5.512	833.15	298.15	944.0	1018.9	393.6		19.996	856.81	323.15	843.4	923.3	381.2
10.132	836.69	298.15	896.9	992.2	391.1		25.007	860.35	323.15	802.7	902.4	381.1
14.905	840.24	298.15	852.4	966.5	388.8		29.962	863.64	323.15	766.9	883.8	381.1
19.821	843.65	298.15	812.1	942.8	386.8		35.007	866.77	323.15	734.6	866.8	381.3
25.133	847.19	298.15	772.6	919.3	384.9		39.996	869.86	323.15	704.2	850.6	381.7
30.621	850 70	298.15	735.6	896.8	383.2		0 524	818 88	348.15	1249.9	1086.3	401.4
35 004	853.42	298.15	708.4	880.1	382.0		5.008	823.67	348.15	1165.3	1046.8	397.4
39 987	856 31	298.15	680.8	862.8	380.8		10.009	828.39	348 15	1088 5	1040.0	304.2
0 301	806 79	323.15	1190.9	1108 5	413.3		15 712	833 51	348 15	1011.8	973.5	301.2
5.061	811 20	323.15	1115.0	1071.2	400.0		20.005	837.03	348 15	062.8	975.5	380.6
10.245	811.29 815.05	222.15	1042.5	1071.2	405.5		20.005	037.03 041.20	249.15	902.0	949.0	200.0
10.245	01J.9J 020.12	525.15 202.15	092.1	1033.0	400.9		20.002	041.30 044.60	240.15	900.0	921.0	200.0
13.529	820.15	525.15 202.15	982.1	1004.3	404.0		29.995	044.00	546.15 249.15	800.4 805.1	901.9	286.2
20.214	824.02	525.15 202.15	929.0	977.2	402.9		20.004	040.13	546.15 249.15	023.1 700.0	001.1 962.7	205.7
25.024	827.03	323.15	883.8	955.5	401.5		39.990	851.44	348.15	/88.9	802.7	385.7
30.005	831.15	323.15	841.7	931.0	400.4		0.921	/9/.03	373.15	1492.2	11//.3	434.9
35.214	834.59	323.15	802.8	910.1	399.5		5.007	801.74	3/3.15	1391.5	1129.5	426.7
39.998	837.64	323.15	770.0	892.3	398.9		10.006	807.19	373.15	1284.8	1078.3	418.4
0.301	783.98	348.15	1427.2	1181.9	434.7		15.621	812.90	373.15	1183.3	1028.9	410.7
5.023	789.22	348.15	1320.9	1133.3	428.9		19.997	817.05	373.15	1115.4	995.5	405.7
10.004	794.29	348.15	1226.9	1089.6	424.1		25.412	821.76	373.15	1043.7	959.8	400.8
15.302	799.38	348.15	1140.4	1048.8	420.1		29.998	825.56	373.15	989.8	932.7	397.2
19.994	803.61	348.15	1074.0	1017.1	417.3		35.006	829.31	373.15	939.8	907.3	394.1
25.323	808.01	348.15	1009.6	985.9	414.8		39.997	832.98	373.15	893.6	883.6	391.4
29.954	811.67	348.15	959.5	961.4	413.2		1.024	772.35	398.15	1891.3	1364.8	507.7
35.064	815.51	348.15	910.0	936.9	411.8		5.006	777.99	398.15	1736.4	1289.0	489.7
39.995	818.94	348.15	868.3	916.0	410.8		10.331	785.04	398.15	1563.4	1203.2	469.7
0.365	760.19	373.15	1748.3	1308.3	480.6		15.006	790.63	398.15	1440.5	1141.5	455.5
5.004	766.10	373.15	1599.6	1241.9	469.6		19.985	796.07	398.15	1331.6	1086.1	443.1
10.326	772.49	373.15	1455.5	1176.6	459.4		25.004	801.25	398.15	1236.8	1037.4	432.4
15.032	777.69	373.15	1349.4	1127.8	452.3		29.996	805.94	398.15	1157.6	996.2	423.5

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jkg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> 50.2         416.0           28.3         409.5
35.004         810.31         398.15         1089.1         96           39.998         814.43         398.15         1028.7         92	50.2         416.0           28.3         409.5
<u>39.998 814.43 398.15 1028.7 92</u>	28.3 409.5
$m = 2.62045 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$	
0.542 800.14 208.15 822.8 01	2.6 220.4
0.342 890.14 298.13 823.8 91	15.0 559.4
4.905 895.17 298.15 789.0 89	70.2 $339.3$
9.891 890.55 298.15 75.4 87	7.0 339.9
15.104 899.98 298.15 /18./ 85	9.6 340.6
19.839 902.87 298.15 690.8 84	45.1 341.4
25.099 906.26 298.15 659.8 82	28.9 342.5
29.769 908.94 298.15 636.4 81	16.5 343.6
35.058 912.04 298.15 610.6 80	345.1
39.930 914.74 298.15 589.1 79	71.3 346.5
1.0/1 869.76 323.15 949.1 96	50.9 <u>361.4</u>
4.856 872.84 323.15 908.5 93	39.0 359.3
9.907 876.78 323.15 859.6 91	2.4 357.0
15.102 880.58 323.15 815.3 88	38.1 355.0
19.702 883.86 323.15 779.2 86	58.1 353.6
25.163 887.55 323.15 740.8 84	6.6 352.3
29.746 890.53 323.15 711.4 83	30.0 351.4
35.061 893.73 323.15 681.3 81	2.8 350.6
39.882 896.67 323.15 655.0 79	07.7 350.1
1 .054 848.34 348.15 1126.8 102	24.6 382.4
4.986 852.06 348.15 1068.4 99	03.6 377.5
10.025 856.65 348.15 1001.3 95	57.4 372.0
15.625 861.30 348.15 938.3 92	22.9 366.9
20.036 864.85 348.15 893.3 89	97.9 363.3
25.415 868.92 348.15 844.8 87	0.7 359.5
29.985 872.21 348.15 807.9 84	9.7 356.7
35.026 875.68 348.15 770.9 82	28.4 353.9
39.986 878.86 348.15 738.7 80	9.7 351.5
1.816 827.11 373.15 1346.4 109	93.8 400.9
5.020 830.51 373.15 1282.5 106	51.5 394.8
9.791 835.54 373.15 1194.4 101	6.4 386.3
15.113 840.66 373.15 1112.0 97	3.4 378.2
19.593 844.80 373.15 1050.3 94	0.5 372.0
25.103 849.57 373.15 984.0 90	4.8 365.4
29.987 853.59 373.15 931.9 87	6.2 360.1
35.029 857.43 373.15 885.2 85	50.1 355.3
39.927 861.06 373.15 843.4 82	26.4 350.9
1.945 803.94 398.15 1661.0 118	39.2 421.6
4.989 807.76 398.15 1572.9 114	413.2
10.021 814.03 398.15 1440.1 108	400.1
15.024 819.75 398.15 1330.4 103	32.1 388.9
20.036 825.07 398.15 1237.0 98	35.9 379.1
25.412 830.44 398.15 1150.5 94	2.0 369.8
29.984 834.81 398.15 1085.3 90	08.3 362.6
35.024 839.23 398.15 1023.7 87	5.9 355.5
39.987 843.41 398.15 969.1 84	6.6 349.1
$m = 3.27773 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$	
0.788 911.05 298.15 772.5 84	3.9 301.7
4.975 913.85 298.15 746.3 83	33.1 303.4
9.774 916.98 298.15 718.2 82	22.0 305.9
15.102 920.38 298.15 689.2 81	1.0 309.1
19.854 923.33 298.15 665.1 80	)2.3 312.5
25.104 926.52 298.15 640.2 79	3.8 316.7
29.832 929.31 298.15 619.3 78	37.0 320.9
35.093 932.34 298.15 597.5 78	30.4 326.0
39.824 934.99 298.15 579.2 77	5.2 330.9

p/MPa	ρ	<i>T</i> /K	$k_{\rm T} \cdot 10^6$	$\alpha_{\rm p} \cdot 10^6/{\rm K}^{-1}$	$(c_n - c_y)$
-	$/\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$		/MPa <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>P</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	$/Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}$
0.850	891.23	323.15	883.5	916.1	344.4
5.021	894.40	323.15	846.9	892.7	340.0
9.698	897.85	323.15	809.1	868.5	335.5
15.104	901.68	323.15	769.4	843.2	331.2
19.771	904.86	323.15	738.3	823.3	327.9
24.899	908.23	323.15	706.8	803.4	324.9
29.763	911.28	323.15	679.7	786.2	322.5
35.007	914.43	323.15	653.0	769.3	320.3
39.933	917.36	323.15	629.2	754.3	318.5
1.200	870.24	348.15	1068.0	1003.9	377.5
4.955	873.68	348.15	1019.2	971.8	369.2
9.872	878.09	348.15	960.5	932.5	359.0
14.930	882.24	348.15	908.9	897.5	349.7
19.941	886.13	348.15	863.4	866.2	341.4
24.957	889.88	348.15	822.1	837.3	333.6
29.862	893.39	348.15	785.5	811.4	326.6
35.103	897.01	348.15	749.8	785.7	319.5
39.839	900.14	348.15	720.4	764.3	313.6
1.356	848.46	373.15	1306.7	1068.4	384.2
5.024	852.32	373.15	1241.9	1032.1	375.5
9.873	857.18	373.15	1165.8	988.1	364.6
14.922	862.01	373.15	1095.6	946.2	353.7
19.904	866.53	373.15	1034.5	908.4	343.5
25.102	871.05	373.15	977.3	871.9	333.2
29.841	875.06	373.15	929.7	840.6	324.1
35.104	879.19	373.15	883.4	809.2	314.6
40.019	882.94	373.15	843.8	781.5	305.9
1.254	825.83	398.15	1569.0	1078.9	357.7
4.914	830.12	398.15	1488.8	1046.8	353.1
9.756	835.75	398.15	1391.1	1005.5	346.3
14.924	841.55	398.15	1298.5	963.8	338.5
19.922	846.85	398.15	1220.5	926.4	330.6
24.985	851.92	398.15	1151.2	891.0	322.3
29.758	856.52	398.15	1092.4	859.3	314.2
35.103	861.57	398.15	1032.0	824.8	304.6
39.960	865.87	398.15	983.8	795.7	295.9

Using a program for standard thermodynamic analysis to describe the  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub>, the equation of state from Ref.<sup>19</sup> was used:

$$p = A \rho^{2} + B \rho^{8} + C \rho^{12}, \qquad (5)$$

where: the coefficients of eqn. (5) A, B and C are functions of temperature and molalities m.

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{4} T^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_{ij} m^{j}, \qquad (6)$$

$$B = \sum_{i=0}^{3} T^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_{ij} m^{j}, \qquad (7)$$

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^{3} T^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{3} c_{ij} m^{j}.$$
 (8)

a <sub>ij</sub>	b <sub>ij</sub>	$c_{ij}$
$a_{10} = -3.21735$	$b_{00} = 369.943$	$c_{00} = -5740.52$
$a_{11} = -2.57045$	$b_{01} = -4315.07$	$c_{01} = 17747.3$
$a_{12} = 4.47684$	$b_{02} = 598.959$	$c_{02} = -8187.34$
$a_{13} = -1.33828$	$b_{03} = 443.751$	$c_{03} = 809.445$
$a_{20} = 0.0141746$	$b_{10} = -3.37282$	$c_{10} = 58.8717$
$a_{21} = 0.0205901$	$b_{11} = 35.6259$	$c_{11}^{10} = -157.626$
$a_{22} = -0.0335068$	$b_{12}^{T} = -9.35584$	$c_{12} = 75.4358$
$a_{23} = 0.983941 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$b_{13} = -1.88406$	$c_{13} = -8.79996$
$a_{30} = -0.232211 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$b_{20} = 0.0190504$	$c_{20} = -0.181846$
$a_{31} = -0.546586 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$b_{21} = -0.101348$	$c_{21} = 0.458253$
$a_{32} = 0.826651 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$b_{22} = 0.0388078$	$c_{22}^{-1} = -0.22754$
$a_{33} = -0.237136 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$b_{23}^{-2} = -0.129776 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$c_{23}^{22} = 0.0301332$
$a_{40} = 0.156498 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$b_{30}^{20} = -0.18162 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$c_{30} = 0.187835 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$a_{41} = 0.471533 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$b_{31} = 0.921974 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$c_{31} = -0.438936 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$a_{42} = -0.664841 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$b_{32} = -0.476565 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$c_{32} = 0.225584 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$a_{43} = 0.185212 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$b_{33} = 0.515993 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$c_{33}^{-1} = -0.332753 \cdot 10^{-4}$

**Table 2:** Values of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_{ij}$ , and  $c_{ij}$  in Eqs. 5-8.

The  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_{ij}$  and  $c_{ij}$  are the coefficients of the polynomials and they are given in Table 2. Eqns. 5–8 describe the experimental, interpolated and extrapolated results between molalities  $m = (0 \text{ to } 3.27773) \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  with ±0.011 % average percent, 0.125 kg m<sup>-3</sup> standard and 0.084 kg m<sup>-3</sup> absolute deviations. During the molality *m* dependence analysis of experimental results, the  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties of ethanol from Refs.<sup>13–15</sup> were used.

The short empiric equation (9) can be used for the technical calculation of the  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub>:

$$p = (d_1T + d_2m^2T + d_3T^2 + d_4mT^2 + d_5m^2T^2 + d_6T^3)\rho^2 + (e_1T + e_2mT + e_3T^3 + (9) + e_4mT^3)\rho^8 + fmT\rho^{12}$$

Equation (9) describe the experimental, interpolated and extrapolated results between molalities  $m = (0 \text{ to} 3.27773) \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  with ±0.031 % average percent, 0.307 kg m<sup>-3</sup> standard and 0.247 kg m<sup>-3</sup> absolute deviations. The coefficients of the equation (9)  $d_{IP} d_{2P} d_{3P} d_{4P} d_{5P} d_{6P} e_{IP} e_{2P}$  $e_{3P} e_{4}$  and f are given in Table 3.

Figures 2–5 show the plots of experimental density  $\rho_{\text{exp.}}$  of the (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) versus pressure *p* at *m* = 0.60237 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>, at *T* = 298.15 K and in various molali-

ties, versus molality *m* at *T* = 298.15 K together with literature values and interpolated results at *p* = 10 MPa, deviations of experimental density  $\rho_{exp.}$  from calculated density  $\rho_{cal.}$  versus pressure.



**Figure 2.** Plot of pressure  $\rho$  of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> vs experimental density p at  $m = 0.60237 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ :  $\blacklozenge$ , 298.15 K;  $\blacksquare$ , 323.15 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , 348.15 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 373.15 K;  $\square$ , 398.15 K; \_\_\_\_\_ calculated by eqs. 5–8.

<i>d</i> <sub>i</sub>	e <sub>i</sub>	f
$d_1 = -3.2550435$	$e_1 = 3.6667154436$	<i>f</i> = 0.5329543
$d_2 = 0.10916524$	$e_2 = -1.3891687$	
$d_3 = 0.0110161347$ $d_3 = 0.1705044123 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$e_3 = -0.4077081 \cdot 10^{-5}$	
$d_4 = -0.18886651 \cdot 10^{-3}$	e <sub>4</sub> -0.24787472 * 10	
$d_6 = -0.90607211 \cdot 10^{-5}$		

**Table 3:** Values of the coefficients  $d_i e_i$  and f in Eqn. 9

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

**Figure 3.** Plot of pressure *p* of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> vs experimental density  $\rho$  at T = 298.15 K:  $\Box$ , m = 0 (from Refs. [13–15]);  $\blacklozenge$ , m = 0.12071 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\blacksquare$ , m = 0.26234 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\blacktriangle$ , m = 0.60237 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\blacklozenge$ , m = 0.97956 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\diamondsuit$ , m = 1.83765 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\Box$ , m = 2.62045 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\triangle$ , m = 3.27773 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>; \_\_\_\_\_ calculated by eqs. 5-8.

860

 $\rho/\text{kg·m}^{-3}$ 

880

900

920

940

**Figure 4.** Plot of experimental density  $\rho$  of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> versus molality *m* at *T* = 298.15 K:  $\blacklozenge$ , *p* = 0.101 MPa;  $\blacksquare$ , *p* = 5 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , *p* = 10 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , *p* = 15 MPa;  $\diamondsuit$ , *p* = 20 MPa;  $\Box$ , *p* = 25 MPa; △, *p* = 30 MPa;  $\bigcirc$ , *p* = 35 MPa; \*, *p* = 40 MPa; +, ref. [8]; x, interpolated values at *p* = 10 MPa by eqs. 5–8; \_\_\_\_\_ calculated values by eqs. 5-8.

1.5

m/mol kg<sup>-1</sup>

2.0

2.5

3.0

3.5

The graphical analysis of the temperature dependence of the coefficients of eqn. (5) revealed that, at  $T \rightarrow T_c$ ,  $A \rightarrow 0$ . Such behavior of A = f(T) may be explained by the fact that, according to Putilov,<sup>20</sup> the first term on the right-hand side of eqn. (5),  $A\rho^2$ , is the attractive force (attractor pressure), and the second and third terms are the repulsive



**Figure 5.** Plot of deviations of experimental density  $\rho_{exp.}$  from the calculated by eqs. 5-8 density  $\rho_{cal.}$  vs pressure *p* at *T* = (298.15 to 398.15) K and all experimental molalities.

force (repulsive pressure). As the temperature rises, the spacing between molecules increases, which contributes to a decrease in the attractive force. As the attractive force tends to zero  $(A \rightarrow 0)$  and molecules under the effect of the repulsive force are capable of displacement. The extent of their displacement is defined only by the density of the substance, i.e., external pressure. As a result, the aggregate state changes. Note that the form of eqn. (5) was derived from Putilov's molecular-kinetic theory.

The isothermal compressibility  $k/MPa^{-1}$  is a measure of the relative volume change of a fluid as a response to a pressure change at the constant temperature:

$$k_T = (1/\rho)(\partial p/\partial \rho)_T^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

It can be calculated from the experimental  $(p, \rho, T)$  results of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> using eqns. (5–8) as follow:

$$k_T = 1/(2A\rho^2 + 8B\rho^8 + 12C\rho^{12}), \qquad (11)$$

The calculated values of the isothermal compressibilities  $k \ 10^6/\text{MPa}^{-1}$  are given in Table 1 and for molality  $m = 0.60237 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  shown in Figure 6.

The other thermal coefficient can be calculated from eqns. (5–8) is a isobaric thermal expansibility  $\alpha_p/K^{-1}$ , which is the tendency of matter to change in volume in response to a change in temperature. When a sample is heated, its constituent particles move around more vigorously and by doing so generally maintain a greater average separation. Samples that contract with an increase in temperature are very uncommon; this effect is limited in size, and only occurs within limited temperature ranges.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$ 

40

35

30

25

15

10

5

940

920

900

880

840

820

780

0.0

0.5

1.0

, m 880 β / kg m 780

800

820

840

20 add



**Figure 6.** Plot of isothermal compressibility  $k \, 10^6/\text{MPa}^{-1}$  of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> versus pressure *p* at *m* = 0.60237 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $\blacklozenge$ , 298.15 K;  $\blacksquare$ , 323.15 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , 348.15 K;  $\blacklozenge$ , 373.15 K;  $\square$ , 398.15 K).

The degree of expansion divided by the change in temperature is called the sample's coefficient of thermal expansion and generally varies with temperature.

$$\alpha_p = (1/\rho)(\partial p/\partial T)_{\rho}(\partial p/\partial \rho)_T^{-1}, \qquad (12)$$

Isobaric thermal expansibility  $\alpha_p/K^{-1}$  calculated from the experimental (*p*, *ρ*, *T*) results of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> using the Eqns. (5–8):

$$\alpha = (A' + B'\rho^6 + C'\rho^{10})/(2A + 8B\rho^6 + 12C\rho^{10}), \quad (13)$$

where: A', B', and C' are the derivatives of the A, B, and C:

$$A' = \sum_{i=1}^{4} iT^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{3} a_{ij}m^{j}, \quad B' = \sum_{i=1}^{3} iT^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{3} b_{ij}m^{j},$$
  

$$C' = \sum_{i=1}^{3} iT^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{3} c_{ij}m^{j}$$
(14)

The calculated values of the isobaric thermal expansibility  $\alpha_p \times 10^6/K^{-1}$  are given in Table 1 and for molality m = 2.62045 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> shown in Figure 7.

The next important parameter for the investigation is difference in specific heat capacities. Measuring the heat capacity at constant volume can be prohibitively difficult for liquids. That is, small temperature changes typically require large pressures to maintain a liquid at constant volume implying the containing vessel must be nearly rigid or at least very strong. Instead it is easier to measure the heat capacity at constant pressure and solving for the specific heat capacity at constant pressure using mathematical relationships derived from basic thermodynamic laws:



**Figure 7.** Plot of isobaric thermal expansibilities  $\alpha_p \ 10^6/\text{K}^{-1}$  of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> vs pressure *p* at *m* = 2.62045 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $\blacklozenge$ , 298.15 K;  $\blacksquare$ , 323.15 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , 348.15 K;  $\blacklozenge$ , 373.15 K;  $\square$ , 398.15 K).



**Figure 8.** Plot of difference in specific isobaric and isochoric heat capacities  $(c_p \cdot c_v)/(Jkg^{-1}K^{-1})$  of ethanol solutions of LiNO<sub>3</sub> at m = 1.83765 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> versus pressure p ( $\blacklozenge$ , 278.05 K;  $\blacksquare$ , 288.15 K;  $\blacktriangle$ , 298.19 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 313.18 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 328.15 K;  $\square$ , 343.18 K;  $\triangle$ , 358.15 K;  $\bigcirc$ , 373.15 K).

$$c_{p} = c_{v} + T \frac{\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{\rho}^{2}}{\rho^{2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_{T}},$$
(15)

where:  $c_p$  and  $c_v$  are the specific heat capacities at constant pressure and volume, respectively. Using the eqns. (5–8), we can find the following relationship:

$$c_p - c_v = \frac{\alpha_p^2 T}{\rho k_T} \tag{16}$$

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

The values of calculated difference in specific heat capacities are given in Table 1 and for molality  $m = 1.83765 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  shown in Figure 8.

The apparent molar volume is the volume that

should be attributed to the LiNO<sub>3</sub> in the (LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$ ) solution if one assumes that the ethanol contributes the same volume it has in its pure state. The apparent molar volume,  $V_{\phi}$ , is given by

Table 4: Apparent Molar Volumes	$V_{\phi}/(\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ of the LiNO <sub>3</sub> in C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH.
---------------------------------	---

			п	ı∕mol kg <sup>−1</sup>			
<i>p/</i> MPa	0.12071	0.26234	0.60237	0.97956	1.83765	2.62045	3.27773
			Т	= 298.15 K			
0.1	10.227	10.991	13.288	15.271	18.302	20.576	22.413
5	10.325	11.765	14.202	16.096	19.012	21.232	23.004
10	10.934	12.630	15.063	16.894	19.677	21.842	23.548
15	11.642	13.522	15.917	17.634	20.293	22.402	24.043
20	12.579	14.501	16.744	18.349	20.882	22.924	24.491
25	13 740	15 451	17 546	19 043	21 429	23 407	24 905
30	14 996	16 431	18 300	19.685	21.125	23.855	25 280
35	16 472	17 501	19.083	20 311	22.445	24 286	25.632
40	18.041	18 604	19.803	20.936	22.918	24 689	25.052
	101011	101001	T	= 323.15  K		2.1007	20.000
$\overline{0.1}$	11 /00	11.402	12 712	14 106	16.612	18 707	20.441
5	11.499	12 405	12.712	15 244	17.607	10.614	20.441
5 10	11.795	12.405	15.001	15.244	19.525	19.014	21.271
10	12.473	13.430	15.005	10.291	10.323	20.448	22.027
15	13.390	14.552	10.084	17.203	19.304	21.199	22.704
20	14.400	15.654	17.069	18.16/	20.122	21.882	23.316
25	15.907	16.860	18.047	19.040	20.847	22.520	23.885
30	17.500	18.028	18.993	19.852	21.507	23.103	24.401
35	19.052	19.222	19.885	20.609	22.130	23.646	24.873
40	20.955	20.445	20.778	21.360	22.719	24.157	25.320
			Т	= 348.15 K			
0.1	7.309	7.123	8.513	10.084	13.118	15.657	17.808
5	7.928	8.753	10.337	11.833	14.599	16.931	18.910
10	8.935	10.303	12.022	13.402	15.928	18.071	19.891
15	10.306	11.839	13.516	14.814	17.107	19.074	20.751
20	11.896	13.438	14.974	16.116	18.177	19.988	21.523
25	13.844	14.974	16.293	17.300	19.153	20.807	22.221
30	15.863	16.582	17.563	18.408	20.042	21.559	22.850
35	17.960	18.139	18.765	19.430	20.866	22.251	23.425
40	20.269	19.713	19.903	20.419	21.630	22.891	23.957
			Т	= 373.15 K			
0.24	-4.110	-3.609	-0.756	2.119	7.061	10.753	13.838
5	-2.621	-0.884	2.223	4.852	9.259	12.570	15.343
10	-0.761	1.774	4.881	7.278	11.227	14.190	16.673
15	1.733	4.349	7.304	9.448	12.941	15.601	17.818
20	4.392	6.792	9.430	11.349	14.451	16.833	18.807
25	7.227	9.191	11.401	13.060	15.800	17.927	19.679
30	10.245	11.493	13.234	14.629	17.021	18.912	20.452
35	13.301	13.777	14.940	16.069	18.131	19.801	21.149
40	16.690	15.986	16.559	17.424	19.157	20.621	21.783
			Т	= 398.15 K			
0.52	-25.038	-22.496	-15.886	-10.232	-2.080	2.961	7.035
5	-21.693	-17.582	-11.086	-6.032	1.165	5.677	9.353
10	-17.493	-12.734	-6.590	-2.142	4.153	8.160	11.450
15	-13.135	-8.381	-2.813	1.100	6.642	10.225	13.158
20	-8.737	-4.442	0.483	3.900	8.773	11.976	14.584
25	-4.102	-0.645	3.448	6.379	10.644	13.498	15.797
30	0.627	2.828	6.084	8.588	12.304	14.836	16.846
35	5.316	6.151	8.512	10.587	13.784	16.020	17.755
40	9.834	9.274	10.751	12.394	15.125	17.087	18.559

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

$$V_{\phi} = (V - \mathbf{n}_1 V_1^0) / \mathbf{n}_2, \tag{17}$$

where:  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of moles of pure ethanol and LiNO<sub>3</sub>, respectively;  $V_1^0$  is the molar volume of pure ethanol. Using the density values of (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) and pure ethanol at the high temperatures and pressures, apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol were defined by equation (18) and are listed in Table 4:

$$V_{\phi} = (\rho_e - \rho_s)/(m\rho_s\rho_e) + M/\rho_s, \qquad (18)$$



**Figure 9.** Plot of apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol vs *m* at T = 298.15 K:  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 0.1 MPa;  $\blacksquare$ , p = 5 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 10 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 15 MPa,  $\diamondsuit$ , p = 20 MPa;  $\Box$ , p = 25 MPa; △, p = 30 MPa;  $\bigcirc$ , p = 35 MPa; \*, p = 40 MPa; x, p = 0.1 MPa<sup>7</sup>; +, p = 0.1 MPa<sup>8</sup>.



**Figure 10.** Plot of apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol vs temperature *T* at m = 0.97956 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>:  $\blacklozenge$ , p = (0.24, and 0.52) MPa;  $\blacksquare$ , p = 5 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 10 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 15 MPa,  $\diamondsuit$ , p = 20 MPa;  $\Box$ , p = 25 MPa; △, p = 30 MPa;  $\bigcirc$ , p = 35 MPa; \*, p = 40 MPa.

where:  $\rho_e$  and  $\rho_s$  are densities of ethanol and the solutions, recpectively, *m* is the molality and *M* is the molar mass of the dissolved LiNO<sub>3</sub>. The calculations were carried out using the density results of ethanol and (LiNO<sub>3</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) at the same temperatures and pressures.

The maximum relative uncertainty<sup>21</sup>  $\delta V_{\phi}$  in the  $V_{\phi}$  determination by the investigated concentrations are:  $\delta V_{\phi} = (2.27)$  %. Figures 9 and 10 shows the plot of the apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol versus *m* at T = 298.15 K, in various pressures together with literature results and apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol versus temperature *T* at m = 0.97956 mol kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The calculated apparent molar volume  $V_{\phi}$  results were compared with 23 available literature values of [8] at T =298.15 K and  $\Delta V_{\phi} = 0.383$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> average deviation was found. The apparent molar volume results of Ref.<sup>8</sup> at T =298.15 K were added to Figure 9 for the visual comparison.

The partial molar volumes  $\overline{V}_i$ , i = 1,2, are calculated from the slope of tangent  $(\partial V_n / \partial x)_{PT}$ :

$$V_{C_{2}H_{3}OH} = \left[ v - w \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial w} \right)_{T,p} \right] \cdot M_{C_{2}H_{3}OH},$$

$$V_{LINO_{3}} = \left[ v + (1 - w) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial w} \right)_{T,p} \right] \cdot M_{LINO_{3}}$$
(19)

where: *w* is mass fraction of LiNO<sub>3</sub> and *M* is the relative molar masses of components of solution. The calculated values of the partial molar volumes of ethanol and LiNO<sub>3</sub> are presented in Table 5. Figures 11 and 12 shows the molality dependences of the partial molar volumes  $\overline{V}_i$  of ethanol and LiNO<sub>3</sub> at T = 323.15 K and various pressures.



**Figure 11.** Partial molar volumes  $\overline{V}_i$  (i = 1,2) of ethanol vs molality m at T = 323.15 K and various pressures calculated by Eqs. 19:  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 0.101 MPa;  $\blacksquare$ , p = 5 MPa;  $\blacktriangle$ , p = 10 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 15 MPa,  $\diamondsuit$ , p = 20 MPa;  $\Box$ , p = 25 MPa;  $\bigtriangleup$ , p = 30 MPa;  $\bigcirc$ , p = 35 MPa; \*, p = 40 MPa.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$ 

**Table 5:** Partial molar volumes  $\overline{V}_i$  (*i* = 1,2) for ethanol (1) and LiNO<sub>3</sub> (2) derived from the density measurements.

	<i>m</i> /mol kg <sup>-1</sup>									
p/MPa	0.00000	0.12071	0.26234	0.60237	0.97956	1.83765	2.62045	3.27773		
				V(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH)/cn	n <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>					
				T = 298.15	5 K					
0.1	58.66	58.64	58.62	58.57	58.48	58.14	57.75	57.44		
5	58.33	58.31	58.30	58.25	58.16	57.83	57.46	57.14		
10	58.02	58.00	57.99	57.94	57.86	57.55	57.18	56.86		
15	57.72	57.71	57.70	57.66	57.58	57.27	56.92	56.60		
20	57.45	57.44	57.43	57.39	57.31	57.02	56.68	56.36		
25	57.19	57.18	57.17	57.13	57.06	56.78	56.45	56.14		
30	56.94	56.93	56.92	56.89	56.82	56.55	56.23	55.93		
35	56.71	56.70	56.69	56.66	56.59	56.33	56.03	55.73		
40	56.48	56.48	56.47	56.44	56.37	56.12	55.83	55.55		
				T = 323.14	5 K					
0.1	60.34	60.33	60.32	60.28	60.20	50.88	50.40	50.12		
5	50.04	50.03	50.02	50.88	50.81	59.88	50.13	58.76		
10	50.56	59.95	59.92	50.51	50.44	59.51	58 70	58.70		
10	50.21	59.55	59.54	50.16	50.10	58 82	58.79	58.43		
20	50 00	59.20	50 06	59.10	59.10	50.03	58 10	57.92		
20	J0.00 50 57	50.07	50.00	50.04	J0./0 50./0	58.33	57.01	57.65		
20	50.57	50.00	58.30	58.35	J0.40	57.07	57.51	57.30		
50 25	58.01	58.20	58.00	57.07	57.02	57.97	57.05	57.09		
55 40	57.75	57.75	57.74	57.97	57.95	57.71	57.41	56.95		
40	51.15	37.73	37.74	<u> </u>	57.07	37.40	37.17	30.83		
				T = 348.13	) K					
0.1	62.29	62.28	62.27	62.22	62.12	61.74	61.24	60.76		
5	61.78	61.77	61.76	61.72	61.63	61.29	60.83	60.37		
10	61.31	61.30	61.29	61.25	61.18	60.87	60.44	60.00		
15	60.87	60.87	60.86	60.83	60.76	60.48	60.08	59.67		
20	60.47	60.47	60.46	60.43	60.37	60.11	59.75	59.36		
25	60.10	60.10	60.09	60.07	60.01	59.78	59.44	59.07		
30	59.76	59.76	59.75	59.73	59.68	59.46	59.14	58.80		
35	59.44	59.43	59.43	59.41	59.36	59.16	58.87	58.56		
40	59.13	59.13	59.12	59.10	59.06	58.88	58.61	58.32		
				T = 373.15	5 K					
0.24	64.61	64.59	64.57	64.48	64.33	63.77	63.10	62.54		
5	63.96	63.94	63.92	63.85	63.72	63.22	62.62	62.09		
10	63.35	63.34	63.32	63.26	63.14	62.71	62.16	61.67		
15	62.80	62.79	62.78	62.72	62.62	62.24	61.75	61.30		
20	62.31	62.30	62.29	62.24	62.15	61.80	61.37	60.96		
25	61.85	61.85	61.83	61.79	61.71	61.40	61.01	60.65		
30	61.44	61.43	61.42	61.38	61.31	61.03	60.69	60.37		
35	61.05	61.04	61.03	61.00	60.93	60.69	60.38	60.10		
40	60.69	60.68	60.67	60.64	60.58	60.36	60.10	59.85		
				T = 398.15	5 K					
0.52	67.46	67.41	67.36	67.21	66.96	66.17	65.43	65.05		
5	66.61	66.58	66.53	66.40	66.19	65.50	64.82	64.41		
10	65.80	65.77	65.73	65.62	65.44	64.84	64.21	63.81		
15	65.08	65.06	65.03	64.93	64.78	64.24	63.68	63.29		
20	64.45	64.43	64.40	64.32	64.18	63.71	63.20	62.84		
25	63.88	63.86	63.84	63.77	63.64	63.22	62.77	62.44		
30	63.36	63.35	63.33	63.26	63.15	62.77	62.38	62.09		
35	62.89	62.88	62.86	62.80	62.70	62.36	62.02	61.78		
40	62.45	62.44	62.42	62.37	62.28	61.98	61.69	61.49		

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 

				<i>m</i> /mol ks	<del>,</del> –1					
<i>p</i> /MPa	0.00000	0.12071	0.26234	0.60237	0.97956	1.83765	2.62045	3.27773		
<u> </u>				V(LiNO <sub>2</sub> )/cm	<sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>					
	<i>T</i> = 298.15 K									
0.1	10.73	11 91	13.24	16.20	19.10	24.40	28.03	30.43		
5	12.10	13.17	14.39	17.12	19.83	24.40	28.44	30.88		
10	13.24	14.24	15.37	17.93	20.49	25.32	28.81	31.24		
15	14.29	15.22	16.27	18.67	21.08	25.71	29.13	31.55		
20	15.22	16.09	17.09	19.35	21.63	26.07	29.39	31.76		
25	16.05	16.87	17.81	19.96	22.13	26.39	29.61	31.93		
30	16.82	17.60	18.49	20.52	22.60	26.68	29.80	32.05		
35	17.50	18.25	19.10	21.05	23.03	26.95	29.95	32.12		
40	18.12	18.84	19.66	21.53	23.44	27.19	30.06	32.14		
				T = 323.13	5 K	,				
0.1	10.42	11.31	12.32	14.66	17.08	21.91	25.67	28.46		
5	12.14	12.91	13.81	15.90	18.09	22.62	26.27	29.04		
10	13 59	14.28	15.09	16.98	19.01	23.27	26.80	29.53		
15	14.88	15 50	16.22	17.95	19.82	23.84	27.25	29.93		
20	16.03	16.59	17.24	18.81	20.55	24.35	27.65	30.28		
20 25	17.05	17.56	18.15	19.60	21.21	24.81	28.01	30.58		
30	17.05	18 41	18.95	20.29	21.21	25.23	28.33	30.85		
35	18 74	19.16	19.67	20.23	22.35	25.25	28.59	31.02		
40	19.47	19.86	20.33	21.50	22.33	25.02	28.89	31.02		
	17.17	17.00	20.55	T = 348.1	5 K	20.91	20.01	51.21		
0.1	5 %6	6.97	<u> </u>	10.75	12.61	10.52	24.28	27.01		
5	9.60 8.45	0.87	10.28	10.75	15.01	20.20	24.20	27.91		
5 10	8.4J	9.30	10.28	14.01	15.10	20.39	24.01	28.23		
10	10.05	11.37	12.21	14.23	10.42	21.21	25.51	28.30		
20	12.50	14.63	15.85	15.02	17.58	21.92	25.72	28.78		
20	14.09	14.05	16.52	17.01	10.59	22.50	26.09	28.95		
20	16.74	17.15	17.65	18.88	20.30	23.13	26.40	29.09		
30	10.74	17.15	17.05	10.00	20.30	23.03	26.08	29.20		
35 40	17.70	10.15	10.01	20.51	21.04	24.09	20.91	29.24		
40	10.74	19.00	17.47	$\frac{20.31}{T - 373.1}$	21.70	24.51	27.13	29.30		
$\overline{0.24}$	5.03	3 21	1 14	3 50	<u>8 12</u>	16.88	23.14	27.50		
0.24 5	-5.05	-5.21	-1.14	5.50 6.32	10.30	17.00	23.14	27.50		
10	90	3.01	5 30	0.32 8 77	12.22	10.00	23.08	27.74		
10	2.01	5.91	7.00	0.77	12.22	19.00	24.14	27.88		
20	5.50 7.92	8.90	10.02	12.60	15.85	20.61	24.49	27.90		
20	0.08	10.85	11.84	14.13	16.50	20.01	24.70	27.84		
30	11 72	12.51	13.41	15.48	17.60	21.27	24.99	27.75		
35	13.20	13.00	14.81	15.40	18.57	21.85	25.14	27.50		
40	14.63	15.99	16.03	17.72	10.57	22.30	25.27	27.41		
40	14.05	15.20	10.05	T = 308.1	17.45 5 V	22.82	23.37	27.21		
0.52	22.21	10.74	15.90	7 26	42	12.02	10.60	22.86		
0.52 5	-23.31	-19.74	-13.80	-7.30	.42	12.93	19.00	22.80		
5 10	-10.40	-15.50	-10.22	-3.13	3.40 6 31	14.40 15 74	20.02	23.98 21 70		
15	-10.39	-0.13	-5.59	.37	0.21 Q 17	15.70	21.40 22.11	24.70 25.22		
15 20	-0.01	-5.90	-1.33	3.38 6 10	0.4/	10.90	22.11	25.25		
20 25	-2.23	41	1.04	0.10	10.58	17.83	22.33	25.45		
2J 20	.00	2.41 1 00	4.27	0.23	12.02	10.03	22.03	25.44		
30 35	3.42 5.65	4.00 6.07	0.31	10.00	13.40	19.30	23.00	25.51		
35 40	J.0J 7 55	0.97	0.4J 10.12	11.00	14./1	19.90	23.19	23.10		
ΗU	1.55	0./0	10.15	15.07	13.84	20.49	23.20	24.00		

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of  $LiNO_3 + C_2H_5OH$ 



**Figure 12.** Partial molar volumes  $\overline{V}_i$  (i = 1,2) of LiNO<sub>3</sub> versus molality m at T = 323.15 K and various pressures calculated with Eqs. 19:  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 0.101 MPa;  $\blacksquare$ , p = 5 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 10 MPa;  $\blacklozenge$ , p = 15 MPa,  $\diamondsuit$ , p = 20 MPa;  $\Box$ , p = 25 MPa;  $\Delta$ , p = 30 MPa;  $\bigcirc$ , p = 35 MPa; \*, p = 40 MPa.

## 4. Conclusion

For the first time, the  $(p, \rho, T)$  properties and apparent molar volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in ethanol at T = (298.15 to 398.15) K and pressures up to p = 40 MPa are reported. An empirical correlation for the density of the investigated solutions with composition, pressure and temperature has been derived. The measured volumetric results are useful for the absorption refrigeration machines and heat pumps.

#### 5. Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Barthel for his helpful information and discussions during the many years of our research in non-aqueous electrolyte solutions field, and wish him happiness, success and health.

Dr R. Jannataliyev thanks the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for the support of his research work at the Rostock University of Germany.

# 6. References

- 1. E. C. Ihmels, J. Safarov, E. Hassel, J. Gmehling, J. Chem. *Thermodyn.* **2005**, *37*, 1318–1326.
- 2. H. Israfilov, J. Safarov, A. Shahverdiyev, E. Hassel, J. Chem. Eng. Data 2008, 53, 388–397.
- 3. E. C. Ihmels, J. Safarov, J. Mol. Liq. 2007, 133, 146-151.
- J. Barthel, R. Neueder, Chemistry Data Series, Vol.XII: Electrolyte Data Collection, Part 1a: Conductivities, Transference Numbers, Limiting Ionic Conductivities of Ethanol Solutions, DECHEMA, Frankfurt, 248 + XVIII pages, 1993.
- J. Barthel, R. Neueder, R. Meier, Chemistry Data Series: Vol, XII: Electrolyte Data Collection, Part 3: Viscosities of Nonaqueous Electrolyte Solutions and their Solvents I: Alcohols, DECHEMA, Frankfurt, 355 + XVII pages, 1997.
- J. Barthel, H. Krienke, W. Kunz, Physical Chemistry of Electrolyte Solutions, Modern Aspects, Steinkopff, Darmstadt und Springer, Berlin, 1998, 428 p.
- P. G. Glugla, J. H. Byon, Ch. A. Eckert, J. Chem. Eng. Data 1982, 27, 393–398.
- O. V. Eliseeva, V. V. Golubev, E. V. Korotkova, (VINITI), Moscow, Russia, **1999**, No 12–V99.
- 9. Y. Marcus, G. Helter, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 3406-3452.
- S. Verevkin, J. Safarov, E. Bich, E. Hassel, A. Heintz, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2006, 38, 611–616.
- 11. O. Kratky, H. Leopold, H. H. Stabinger, Z. Angew. Physik 1969, 27, 273–277.
- 12. W. Wagner, A. Pruß, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 2002, 31, 387-535.
- Y. Takiguchi, M. Uematsu, PVT Measurements of Liquid Ethanol in the Temperature Range from 310 to 363 K at Pressures up to 200 MPa, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **1995**, *16*, 205–214.
- Y. Takiguchi, M. Uematsu, Densities for liquid ethanol in the temperature range from 310 K to 480 K at pres-sures up to 200 MPa, *Int. J. Thermophys.* 1996, 28, 7–16.
- H. E. Dilon, S. G. Penoncello, A Fundamental Equation for Calcula-tion of the Thermodynamic Properties of ethanol, *Int. J. Thermophys.*, 2004, 25, 2 321–335.
- R. Hilbert, pVT–Daten von Wasser und von wässrigen Natriumchlorid-Lösungen bis 873 K, 4000 Bar und 25 Gewichtsprozent NaCl, Hochschul Verlag – Freiburg, 1979.
- 17. D. G. Archer, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1992, 21, 793-829.
- E. C. Ihmels, J. Gmehling, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2001, 40, 4470–4477.
- 19. J. T. Safarov, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2003, 35, 1929-1937.
- K. A. Putilov, Thermodynamics of Simplest Liquids, Issledovaniya po termodinamike (Thermodynamic Studies), Nauka, Moscow, **1973**, 105–120.
- 21. J. T. Safarov, G. N. Najafov, A. N. Shahverdiyev, E. Hassel, J. Mol. Liq. 2005, 116, 157–163.

## Povzetek

Izmerili smo gostote raztopin LiNO<sub>3</sub> v etanolu v širokem koncentracijskem m = (0.12071, 0.26234, 0.60237, 0.97956, 1.83765, 2.62045, 3.27773) mol kg<sup>-1</sup> in temperaturnem območju T = (298.15 to 398.15) K ter pri različnih vrednostih tlaka p = (0.2 do 40 MPa). Odvisnost gostot raztopin LiNO<sub>3</sub> v etanolu od tlaka temperature in koncentracije smo podali z empirično zvezo. Iz izmerjenih gostot smo izračunali vrednosti navideznih molskih volumnov V LiNO<sub>3</sub> ter parcialne molske volumne etanola ter LiNO<sub>3</sub>.

Israfilov et al.: The  $(p,\rho,T)$  Properties and Apparent Molar Volumes  $V_{\phi}$  of LiNO<sub>3</sub> +  $C_2H_5OH$